

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | I | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/809,110 | | 03/16/2001 | Ryuichi Matsukura | 1405.1038 | 8919 |
| 21171 | 7590 | 02/17/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| STAAS & | HALSE | Y LLP | FILIPCZYK, MARCIN R | | |
| SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 2171 | \mathscr{C} |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004 | \mathcal{O} |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/809,110 | MATSUKURA ET AL. | | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Marc R Filipczyk | 2171 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 09 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which | ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | · | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date | • | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) Method they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) Method they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | , | · | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed amendment | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | reconsideration has been consi | dered but does NOT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | • | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr | oved or b)☐ disapproved by the | he Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | : | | | | |
| 0. Other: | | | | | | |
| | | CAEET METIALIS | | | | |
| | S | SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATERT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

further consideraion and search.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed added feature of associating a product information database with additional information classified based upon predetermined user profile information in the proposed claims changes the scope of the invention and would requir